



## Summary of Comments from the Sample of Publications from the Results of the EPA Pilot Project

GPO appreciates the input from the 78 respondents who reviewed and submitted comments on the processing of the 300 publications from the results of the EPA Pilot Project. This sample was processed to allow GPO to determine workflow and staffing implications as well as to estimate the amount of time that would be required to process all the publications acquired during the EPA Pilot Project. Two mechanisms for making the publications accessible were tested. The majority were made accessible by the creation of a brief bibliographic record or a CONSER standard record in the Catalog of U.S. Government Publications (CGP). A small portion of the sample was also posted GPO Access using a browse table to determine if there is a mechanism that enables public access to Web harvested content while these publications are in the queue for brief bibliographic records. Publications made accessible through this mechanism were later cataloged in the CGP.

Overall, the comments were supportive of the direction GPO is taking with the processing of this material. While several respondents stated their preference for a full MARC record in OCLC, most felt that a brief bibliographic record was adequate. However, respondents did note the records are quite brief and suggested the inclusion of a page count and at least one LCSH subject heading in each record. Another respondent recommended providing a full-level bibliographic record for major reports harvested as part of the EPA Pilot Project.

The majority of comments received on PURLs indicated a preference for the archived copy on a GPO server. Many respondents noted that including the link to the live copy on the issuing agency's Web site along with a PURL to the archived copy would be valuable.

### **What would be your preferred method to access Web harvested material?**

- \* Brief bibliographic record in the (CGP): 47
- \* Browse table or other searchable database: 19
- \* Other: 11

### **Should Web harvested publications be identified as such in the Catalog of U.S. Government Publications?**

- \* Yes: 61
- \* No: 11

### **Are the brief bibliographic records levels acceptable for this type of material?**

- \* Yes: 49
- \* No: 20

### **Should LSCM point PURLs at the live copy of a publication on the agency Web site or at the archived copy on a GPO server?**

- \* Live copy of the agency's web site: 17
- \* Archive copy on a GPO server: 60